Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 1 of 14 PageID #:1

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Case Number: 1:23-cv-05156

70	TIOI	ICTIC	TTC
$c_{\rm U}$	HOL	ISTIC.	LLC.

Plaintiff,

v.

WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC d/b/a WINDY CITY TOBACCO VAPE SMOKE SHOP and ATA HAMAD,

Defendants.	
 	_/

## COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

The Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "GS"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this, its Complaint against the Defendants, WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC d/b/a WINDY CITY TOBACCO VAPE SMOKE SHOP and ATA HAMAD, and alleges, as follows:

## **Jurisdictional Allegations**

- 1. This is a civil action against the Defendants for trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin and unfair competition, under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1051 et. seq.).
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action that relate to trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin and unfair competition pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a)

270 SW NATURA AVENUE, DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA 33441 TELEPHONE: (561) 232-2222

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 2 of 14 PageID #:2

Venue

3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that the

Defendants reside in this district and the cause of action occurred in this district.

**Parties** 

4. GS HOLISTIC, LLC is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation that has its

principal place of business at 7162 Beverly Boulevard, #207, Los Angeles, California 90036. GS

is the registered owner of the Stündenglass trademarks.

5. WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC d/b/a WINDY CITY

TOBACCO VAPE SMOKE SHOP (hereinafter referred to as "WINDY CITY") is a corporation

that was formed in Illinois and has its principal place of business at 20 N Broadway, Aurora, IL

60505. WINDY CITY is a citizen of Illinois.

6. ATA HAMAD is a resident of Aurora, Illinois, and is sui juris. ATA HAMAD is

a citizen of Illinois.

**Facts Common to All Counts** 

The History of The Stündenglass Brand.

7. Since 2020, GS has marketed and sold products using the well-known trademark

"Stündenglass." The Stündenglass branded products, such as glass infusers and accessories related

thereto, are widely recognized nationally and internationally. Indeed, the Stündenglass brand is

one of the leading companies in the industry, known for high quality and innovation of products.

8. For approximately two years, GS has worked to distinguish the Stündenglass brand

as the premier manufacturer of glass infusers by emphasizing the brand's unwavering use of

quality materials and focusing on scientific principles which facilitate a superior smoking

experience. Stündenglass branded products embody a painstaking attention to detail, which is

evident in many facets of authentic Stündenglass branded products. It is precisely because of the

unyielding quest for quality and unsurpassed innovation that Stündenglass branded products have

a significant following and appreciation amongst consumers in the United States and

internationally.

As a result of the continuous and extensive use of the trademark 9.

"STÜNDENGLASS," GS was granted both valid and subsisting federal statutory and common

law rights to the Stündenglass trademark.

10. GS is the rightful owner of United States trademarks, which are registered on the

Principal Register and have become incontestable within the meaning of Section 15 of the Lanham

Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The following is a list of GS's federally registered trademarks:

U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,633,884 for the standard character

mark "Stündenglass" in association with goods further identified in registration in

international class 011.

U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,174,292 for the design plus words

mark "S" and its logo in association with goods further identified in the registration in

international class 034.

U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,174,291 for the standard character

mark "Stündenglass" in association with goods further identified in registration in

international class 034.

11. The above U.S. registrations are valid, subsisting and in full force and effect.

The Stündenglass Brand in the United States.

12. GS has used the Stündenglass Marks in commerce throughout the United States,

continuously, since 2020, in connection with the manufacturing of glass infusers and accessories.

13. The Stündenglass Marks are distinctive to both the consuming public and the

Plaintiff's trade. GS's Stündenglass branded products are made from superior materials. The

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 4 of 14 PageID #:4

superiority of Stündenglass branded products is not only readily apparent to consumers, but to

industry professionals as well.

14. The Stündenglass Trademarks are exclusive to GS and appear clearly on GS's

Stündenglass Products, as well as on the packaging and advertisements related to the products. GS

has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and

otherwise promoting and protecting these Trademarks. As a result, products bearing GS's

Stündenglass Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the

public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from GS.

15. GS's Stündenglass Products have become some of the most popular of their kind

in the world and have also been the subject of extensive unsolicited publicity resulting from their

high-quality and innovative designs. Because of these and other factors, the GS brand, the

Stündenglass brand, and GS's Stündenglass Trademarks are famous throughout the United States.

16. Since 2020, GS has worked to build significant goodwill in the Stündenglass brand

in the United States. GS has spent substantial time, money, and effort in developing consumer

recognition and awareness of the Stündenglass brand, via point of purchase materials, displays,

through their websites, attending industry trade shows, and through social media promotion.

17. In fact, the Stündenglass Products have been praised and recognized by numerous

online publications, as well as publications directed to the general public.

18. Due to the high quality of the brand and products, GS has collaborated with

numerous celebrities and companies to create collaborations for the Stündenglass products.

19. GS sells its products under the Stündenglass Marks to authorized stores in the

United States, including in Illinois. GS has approximately 3,000 authorized stores in the United

States selling its products. As such, Stündenglass branded products reach a vast array of

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 5 of 14 PageID #:5

consumers throughout the country.

It is because of the recognized quality and innovation associated with the 20.

Stündenglass Marks that consumers are willing to pay higher prices for genuine Stündenglass

products. For example, a Stündenglass brand glass infuser is priced at \$599.95, while a non-

Stündenglass branded product is also being sold for up to \$600, with a range of \$199 to \$600.

21. It is exactly because of their higher sales value that Stündenglass branded products

are targeted by counterfeiters. These unscrupulous people and entities tarnish the Stündenglass

brand by unlawfully selling glass infusers that have identical, or nearly identical, versions of the

Stündenglass Marks affixed to products that are made with inferior materials and technology,

thereby leading to significant illegitimate profits by ATA HAMAD, such as the Defendants in the

instant case.

22. In essence, the Defendants mislead consumers by selling in their stores low grade

products that free ride on the goodwill of the Stündenglass brand, and in turn, the Defendants reap

substantial ill-begotten profits. The Defendants' offering for sale of counterfeit Stündenglass

products contributes to the complete flooding of the marketplace with Stündenglass counterfeit

products, which results in lost sales and damages to GS and irreparable harm to the Stündenglass

brand's image.

23. Unfortunately, the current U.S. marketplace is saturated with counterfeit

Stündenglass products – just like those ATA HAMAD, through his store, WINDY CITY, is

offering for sale. As such, GS has been forced to scrupulously enforce its rights in order to protect

the Stündenglass Marks against infringement. By exercising its Enforcement Rights, GS has

proactively and successfully policed the unauthorized use of the Stündenglass Marks and/or

counterfeit Stündenglass branded products nationwide. GS has had to bear great expense to seek

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 6 of 14 PageID #:6

out and investigate suspected counterfeiters in their attempt to clean up the marketplace.

**Defendants' Offering for Sale Counterfeit Goods** 

24. The Defendants have, and they continue to, offer for sale counterfeit Stündenglass

products with the Stündenglass trademarks and without the consent of GS. In fact, they offer

counterfeit glass infusers bearing imitations of the Stündenglass Trademarks that were not made

or authorized by GS. These are hereinafter the "Counterfeit Goods".

25. Nevertheless, the Defendants have and continue to offer for sale in commerce the

Counterfeit Goods, specifically, the Defendants offer for sale reproductions, counterfeits, copies

and/or colorable imitations of one or more of the Stündenglass Marks (hereinafter the "Infringing

Marks"), detailed above.

26. The Defendants have, without the consent of GS, continued to offer for sale in their

store, the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Infringing Marks, bearing the likeness of the Stündenglass

Trademarks in the United States.

27. The marks affixed to the Counterfeit Goods that the Defendants have offered for

sale are spurious marks which are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the

Stündenglass Trademarks. The marks on the Counterfeit Goods are in fact counterfeit marks as

defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d).

28. In the ongoing investigation into the sales of counterfeit products bearing the

Stündenglass Marks, WINDY CITY offered for sale Counterfeit Goods.

29. Specifically, on March 8, 2023, GS's investigator attended WINDY CITY's

location, which was open to the public, and observed that it had an excess of Glass Infusers which

displayed the Stündenglass Trademarks. The investigator purchased a Glass Infuser with

Stündenglass Marks affixed to it, from WINDY CITY, for a cost of \$514.19, charged to the

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 7 of 14 PageID #:7

account of GS's investigator, and it was a Counterfeit product in that it displayed the Infringing

Marks.

30. ATA HAMAD authorized, directed, and/or participated in WINDY CITY's offer

for sale, in commerce, of the Counterfeit Goods. ATA HAMAD's acts were a moving, active, and

conscious force behind WINDY CITY's infringement of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

31. The Defendants' use of the counterfeit Stündenglass Trademarks began after the

registration of the Stündenglass Trademarks. Neither GS, nor any of its authorized agents, have

consented to the Defendants' use of the Stündenglass Trademarks, or any use of reproductions,

counterfeits, copies and/or colorable imitations thereof.

32. The unauthorized offering for sale by WINDY CITY, under the authority, direction

and/or participation of ATA HAMAD, of the Counterfeit Goods was an unlawful act in violation

of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

33. The offer for sale by the Defendants of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Infringing

Marks has caused GS to suffer losses and is likely to cause damage to the goodwill and reputation

associated with the Stündenglass Trademarks, which are owned by GS.

34. WINDY CITY's use of the Stündenglass Marks includes displaying to offer for

sale unauthorized copies of Counterfeit Stündenglass branded products. WINDY CITY's offering

to sell the Stündenglass counterfeit products, bearing the Infringing Marks in this manner, was,

and is, likely to cause confusion or to cause mistake and/or deceive consumers who purchase the

Counterfeit Goods.

35. WINDY CITY used images and names identical to or confusingly similar to the

Stündenglass Marks, to confuse customers and aid in the promotion and sales of Counterfeit Goods

under the Infringing Marks.

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 8 of 14 PageID #:8

36. The Infringing Marks affixed to the Counterfeit Goods that WINDY CITY has

distributed, provided, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered for sale, and/or sold, is confusingly

identical or similar to the Stündenglass Marks that GS affixes to its Glass Infusers.

37. The Glass Infusers that WINDY CITY sells and offers for sale under the Infringing

Marks are made of substantially inferior materials and inferior technology as compared to genuine

Stündenglass brand products.

38. WINDY CITY has distributed, provided, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered

for sale, and sold its water pipes under the Infringing Marks through its retail convenience store.

39. WINDY CITY has marketed, advertised, and promoted its Counterfeit Goods under

the Infringing Marks through point of purchase displays, and/or its website, and/or via social media

promotion.

40. WINDY CITY and ATA HAMAD's infringing acts as alleged herein have caused

and are likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception among the relevant consuming public as

to the source or origin of the Counterfeit Goods sold by WINDY CITY, and are likely to deceive,

and have deceived, the relevant consuming public into mistakenly believing that the Counterfeit

Goods sold by WINDY CITY originate from, and are associated or affiliated with, or otherwise

authorized by GS.

41. WINDY CITY and ATA HAMAD's acts are willful with the deliberate intent to

trade on the goodwill of the Stündenglass Marks, cause confusion and deception in the

marketplace, and divert potential sales of the Plaintiff's glass infusers to WINDY CITY.

42. WINDY CITY and ATA HAMAD's acts are causing and, unless restrained, will

continue to cause damage and immediate irreparable harm to GS, the Stündenglass Marks, and to

its valuable reputation and goodwill with the consuming public for which GS has no adequate

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 9 of 14 PageID #:9

remedy at law.

43. As a proximate result of the unfair advantage accruing to ATA HAMAD and

WINDY CITY's business from deceptively trading on GS's advertising, sales, and consumer

recognition, ATA HAMAD and WINDY CITY have made and will continue to make substantial

profits and gains to which they are not in law or equity entitled.

44. The injuries and damages sustained by GS has been directly and proximately

caused by WINDY CITY and ATA HAMAD's wrongful advertisement, promotion, distribution,

sale and offers for sale of their goods bearing infringements or counterfeits of the Stündenglass

Marks.

45. Through such business activities, ATA HAMAD and WINDY CITY purposefully

derived direct benefits from their interstate commerce activities by targeting foreseeable

purchasers in the State of Illinois, and in doing so, have knowingly harmed GS.

46. Furthermore, the sale and distribution of Counterfeit Goods by WINDY CITY has

infringed upon the above-identified federally registered trademarks.

47. The spurious marks or designations used by WINDY CITY in interstate commerce

are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Marks on goods

covered by the Stündenglass Marks. Such use therefore creates a false affiliation between WINDY

CITY, GS, and the Stündenglass Marks.

48. Due to the actions of ATA HAMAD and WINDY CITY, GS has been forced to

retain the undersigned counsel and pay the costs of bringing an action forward. ATA HAMAD

and WINDY CITY should be responsible for paying GS's reasonable costs of the action.

49. ATA HAMAD and WINDY CITY's acts have damaged, and will continue to

damage GS, and GS has no adequate remedy at law.

50. Moreover, WINDY CITY and ATA HAMAD's wrongful acts will continue unless

enjoined by the Court. Accordingly, ATA HAMAD and WINDY CITY must be restrained and

enjoined from any further counterfeiting or infringement of the Stündenglass Marks.

**Count One** 

Federal Trademark Counterfeiting and Infringement, 15 U.S.C. § 1114

51. The Plaintiff avers Paragraphs 1 through 50, which are stated above and incorporate

the allegations therein, as though they are fully restated in this Count by reference.

52. GS owns the federally registered Stündenglass Trademarks, as set forth in more

detail in the foregoing paragraphs.

53. The Defendants, without authorization from GS, have used in commerce a spurious

designation that id identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass

Trademarks on the same goods covered by the Stündenglass Trademarks.

54. The Defendants' unauthorized use of counterfeit marks of the registered

Stündenglass Trademarks on and in connection with the Defendants' offer for sale in commerce is

likely to cause confusion or mistake in the minds of the public.

55. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is willful and intended to cause

confusion, mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Defendants,

with GS or the Stündenglass Trademarks.

56. The Defendants' acts constitute willful trademark infringement in violation of

Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

57. The Defendants' actions constitute the use by the Defendants of one or more

"counterfeit mark(s)" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d)(1)(B).

58. The Defendants' use in commerce of the counterfeit Stündenglass Trademarks has

resulted in lost profits and business to GS, which are difficult to determine. The Defendants have

also, by selling counterfeit Stündenglass products, caused considerable damage to the goodwill of

the Stündenglass Trademarks, and diminished the brand recognition of the Stündenglass

Trademarks by introducing counterfeit products into the marketplace.

59. By reason of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to, among other relief, injunctive

relief, an award of statutory damages, and costs of the action under Sections 34 and 35 of the

Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116, 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

**Count Two** 

Federal False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)

60. The Plaintiff avers Paragraphs 1 through 50, which are stated above and incorporate

the allegations therein, as though they are fully restated and incorporated in this Count by

reference.

61. GS owns the federally registered Stündenglass Trademarks, as set forth in more

detail in the foregoing paragraphs.

62. The Defendants, without authorization from GS, have used in commerce spurious

designations that are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass

Trademarks on the same goods covered by the Stündenglass Trademarks.

63. The Defendants' unauthorized use of counterfeit marks of the registered

Stündenglass Trademarks on and in connection with the Defendants' offers for sale in commerce

is likely to cause confusion or mistake in the minds of the public.

64. The Defendants' unauthorized use in commerce of the Stündenglass Trademarks as

alleged herein constitutes use of a false designation of origin and misleading description and

representation of fact in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

11

THE TICKTIN LAW GROUP

65. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is willful and is intended to, and is likely

to, cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the

Defendants, with GS or the Stündenglass Trademarks.

66. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is causing immediate and irreparable

harm and injury to GS, and to the goodwill and reputation of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

Moreover, it will continue to cause damage to GS and confuse the public unless enjoined by this

Court.

67. GS has no adequate remedy at law.

68. By reason of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to, among other relief, injunctive

relief, an award of statutory damages, and costs of the action under Sections 34 and 35 of the

Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116, 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC, respectfully requests the following

relief against the Defendants, as follows:

1. With regard to Plaintiff's Count I for trademark infringement:

a. Disgorgement of profits under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);

b. Treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);

c. Statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c);

d. Costs of suit; and

e. Joint and several liability for ATA HAMAD, and other officers, and directors,

for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of WINDY CITY

TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC.

2. With regard to Plaintiff's Count II for false designation and unfair competition:

12

TELEPHONE: (561) 232-2222

a. Disgorgement of profits under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);

Treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);

Statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c);

d. Costs of suit; and

Joint and several liability for ATA HAMAD, and other officers, and directors,

for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of WINDY CITY

TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC.

3. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE OF

AURORA INC and its agents, employees, officers, directors, owners, representatives, successor

companies, related companies, and all persons acting in concert or participation with it from:

a. The import, export, making, manufacture, reproduction, assembly, use,

purchase, offer, sale, transfer, brokerage, consignment, acquisition,

distribution, storage, shipment licensing, development, display, delivery,

marketing, advertising or promotion of the counterfeit Stündenglass product

identified in the Complaint and any other unauthorized Stündenglass product,

counterfeit, copy or colorful imitation thereof;

4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), directing WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE

OF AURORA INC to file with the Court and serve on the Plaintiff's within thirty (30) days after

issuance of an injunction, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail the manner and

form in which WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC has complied with the

injunction;

5. For an order from the Court requiring that the Defendants provide complete

accountings and for equitable relief, including that the Defendants disgorge and return or pay their

Case: 1:23-cv-05156 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/04/23 Page 14 of 14 PageID #:14

ill-gotten gains obtained from the illegal transactions entered into and/or pay restitution, including

the amount of monies that should have been paid if the Defendants had complied with their legal

obligations, or as equity requires;

6. For an order from the Court that an asset freeze or constructive trust be imposed on

all monies and profits in the WINDY CITY TOBACCO & VAPE OF AURORA INC's possession,

which rightfully belong to the Plaintiff;

7. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 requiring that the Defendants and all others acting

under the Defendants' authority, at its cost, be required to deliver up to the Plaintiff for destruction

all products, accessories, labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles, advertisements, and

other material in their possession, custody or control bearing any of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

8. For any other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Date: August 4, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ryan S. Fojo

Ryan S. Fojo

IL Bar # 6305940

The Ticktin Law Group

270 SW Natura Avenue

Deerfield Beach, Florida 33441

Serv605@LegalBrains.com

Serv549@LegalBrains.com

Telephone: 561-232-2222

Attorney for the Plaintiff

14

THE TICKTIN LAW GROUP

270 SW NATURA AVENUE, DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA 33441

TELEPHONE: (561) 232-2222